



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,240	12/16/2003	Allen David Hertz		6355
31877	7590	02/18/2005	EXAMINER	
ALLEN D. HERTZ 12784 TULIPWOOD CIRCLE BOCA RATON, FL 33428			EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/737,240	HERTZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Lynne Edmondson	1725	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Specification***

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The Brief Description of the Drawings starts at Figure 3.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Double Patenting***

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6, 8-10, 12, 13 and 15-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6662812 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach an method of printing solder and cleaning the stencil by wiping and applying vibrational energy (instant claims 1 and 4 and '812 claims 6, 10, 12 and 15). However the terminology is slightly different and

there is no disclosure of ultrasonic vibration. Fluid is applied to the paper used in the process and vacuum is applied (instant claims 3-7 and '812 claims 8, 9 and 16-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the target is the circuit board and that the printable medium is solder. Ultrasonic vibration is well known and conventional in the art for both cleaning and solder sphere placement.

4. Claims 8, 10-13 and 15-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6138562. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach an apparatus comprising mechanisms for aligning a stencil, placing solder, cleaning the stencil and vibration which may be used for placing solder or cleaning the stencil. Both teach vibrating through a medium (not air). It is noted that the object or substrate does not further limit the apparatus. However the terminology is slightly different.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the vibrational energy can be used for a variety of purposes and that vibrational waves that are not air must be passed through a medium.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 8, 9, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ray (USPN 5407488).

Ray teaches a stencil apparatus, a squeegee for placing solder which can be used for cleaning (col 2 lines 28-61) in addition to vibration means (col 3 lines 4-15 and lines 29-36).

7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Asai et al. (USPN 5988060).

Asai teaches an apparatus and method of cleaning a stencil after screen printing (col 16 lines 35-50) by wiping with wet paper (col 28 lines 51-67 and col 41 lines 25-35) and applying ultrasonic vibration through air (col 26 line 58 – col 27 line 10) and the washing fluid. Fluid and vacuum are applied (col 27 lines 11-52 and col 37 lines 8-27). The apparatus comprises mechanisms for aligning areas, placing solder, cleaning the

stencil and applying vibrational energy through air or a fluid medium (col 16 lines 35-50 and col 26 line 58 – col 3 line 65).

***Conclusion***

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Economy et al. (USPN 5839191), Hertz et al. (USPN 6471111), Brown et al. (USPN 5205896), Hertz et al. (USPN 6412685 B2, solder ball release) and Hamasaki et al. (USPN 5976269, wet paper).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1725

LRE

LYNNE R. EDMONDSON *URG*  
PRIMARY EXAMINER *YIVS*